

UNITED STORES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/811,2	31 03/16/0	01 THRIFT	F	TI-20205.1	
023494 WM02/0913 TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS TX 75265				EXAMINER	
			CHA	CHAWAN, V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			264	11	
			DATE MAILED		
				09/13/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

1- File Copy

		Application I	No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/811,231		THRIFT ET AL.					
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Vijay B Chaw	an	2641					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app								
Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is no	n-final.						
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 20-36 is/are pending in the application	on.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) 20-36 is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requ	uirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>	5)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-15					
I S Datent and T	rademark Office				_				

Art Unit: 2641

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- cross reference to related applications does not contain the serial number, or title, or the filing date of the related application.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 20 and 35 are objected to because of the following informalities: the term "brousing module" is spelled correctly. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 4. Claims 20 -26, 29, 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Houser et al., (5,774,859).

As per claim 20, Houser et al., teach an apparatus comprising:

Art Unit: 2641

a speech user agent (abstract - "the system includes a ... information in accordance with the recognized utterances of the speaker"); and,

a browsing module for the Internet or the World Wide Web (WWW) being responsive to said speech user agent, said speech user agent facilitating voice activation of said browsing module to access an information resource on the Internet (Col.11, lines 47-50).

As per claim 21, Houser et al., teach the apparatus of claim 20, wherein said access of said information resource is accomplished in part through the use of a grammar embedded in said information resource, (Col.8, lines 29-38).

As per claim 22, Houser et al., teach the apparatus of claim 21, further including a means for processing the verbal directions of a user based on said grammar, (Col.8, lines 29-38).

As per claim 23, Houser et al., teach the apparatus of claim 22, further including a means for returning a result of said verbal directions to said user (Col.11, lines 42-50).

As per claim 24, Houser et al., teach the system of claim 21, teach Internet service (Col.11, line 50), which has as its resource an HTML page (Col.30, lines 6-18).

As per claim 25, Houser et al., teach the apparatus of claim 20, further including an instructional module for communicating allowed actions by a user (Col.18, lines 14-20).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/811,231

Art Unit: 2641

As per claim 26, Houser et al., teach the apparatus of claim 21, wherein said embedded grammar is a smart page grammar which is inherent in a hypermedia system such as the Internet (Col.11, lines 47-50).

As per claim 27, Houser et al., teach embedded grammar as a reference to a grammar located in said information resource (Col.14, line 61 - Col.15, line 18).

As per claim 29, Houser et al., teach the apparatus of claim 22, wherein said actions come from a speech recognizer (abstract).

Claim 35 is an apparatus claim similar in scope and content of claim 20 and is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 36 is a method claim similar in scope and content of the apparatus claim 20 and is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 28, 30-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Houser et al., (5,774,859) as applied to claim 21 above, and further in view

Art Unit: 2641

of Arons ("Hyperspeech: navigating in speech-only hypermedia", Proceedings of the third annual ACM conference on Hypertext, December 15-18, 1991, pages 133-146).

Houser et al., while teaching, connecting and accessing data on the Internet do not specifically teach dynamically adding grammar to a speech recognizer, extracting a grammar from a hypermedia source, automatically producing an intelligent grammar from said information source, processing said grammar to produce a reference to said hypermedia source, and tokenizing a title for addition into said grammar. Arons teaches dynamically adding grammar to a speech recognizer, extracting a grammar from a hypermedia source, automatically producing an intelligent grammar from said information source, processing said grammar to produce a reference to said hypermedia source, and tokenizing a title for addition into said grammar (abstract, sections "Plans for future versions", sections "Software version", and "The links").

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement navigating in speech only hypermedia as taught by Arons, because this would greatly reduce manual intervention at the same time provide the user with much needed access to an information resource such an the World Wide Web or the Internet.

Art Unit: 2641

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 7. applicant's disclosure.

Hemphill et al., ("surfing the Web by Voice", Electronic Proceedings, ACM Multimedia 95, November 5-9, 1995), teach a speaker independent, continuous, real-time, flexible vocabulary, dynamic grammar speech interface to the World Wide Web.

Hemphill (5,774,628) teach a speaker independent dynamic vocabulary and grammar in speech recognition.

Sarukkai et al., (5,819,220) teach a web triggered word set boosting for speech interfaces to the world wide web.

Thrift et al., (6,188,985) teach a wireless voice-activated device for control of a processor-based host system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vijay B Chawan whose telephone number is (703) 305-3836. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Through Thursday 7-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William R. Korzuch can be reached on (703) 305-6137. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is

Art Unit: 2641

Page 7

assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 308-6296 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Vijay B Chawan Examiner Art Unit 2641

vbc September 10, 2001